

International Journal of

Progressive Education

Volume 5 Number 3 October, 2009



International Journal of Progressive Education

Frequency: Three times a year; February, June, and October

ISSN: 1554-5210

Indexing/Abstracting:

1- OCLC-WorldCat: http://www.oclc.org/worldcat/default.htm

- 2- Journal Finder: http://journalfinder.uncg.edu/demo/
- 3- Directory of Open Access Journals: (DOAJ): http://www.doaj.org/home
- 4- EBSCO Publication: http://www.ebsco.com
- 5- AERA e-journals: http://aera-cr.asu.edu/ejournals/
- 6- NewJour (A Listing of New Electronic Journals)
- 7- Cabell's Directory of Publishing: http://www.cabells.com
- 8- International Society for Exploring Teaching and Learning: http://www.isetl.org/
- 9- Australian Government Education Portal: http://www.education.gov.au/goved/go
- 10- Education Network Australia: http://www.edna.edu.au/edna/go
- 11- ERIC: http://www.eric.ed.gov/

2007 Subscription Rates

- \$35 Association Member USA (Canada: \$40; Rest of World: \$50)
- \$45 Individual USA (Canada: \$50; Rest of World: \$55)
- \$35 Student USA (Canada: \$40; Rest of World: \$50)
- \$140 Library/Institution USA (Canada: \$160; Rest of World: \$160)

Single Issues and Back Issues: \$25 USA (Canada: \$35; Rest of World: \$35)

If you wish to subscribe for the printed edition of IJPE, please send the subscription fee as check or money order (payable to International Association of Educators) to the following address:

International Association of Educators 1971 S. Orchard Street University of Illinois Urbana, IL 61801 USA

Print copies of past issues are also available for purchased by contacting the Customer Service department subscription@inased.org

International Journal of Progressive Education

Editor:

Mustafa Yunus Eryaman Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey

Associate Editor:

Chen Xinren Nanjing University, China

Assistant Managing Editors:

Eryca Rochelle Neville University of Missouri-Columbia, USA

Nihat Kahveci University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA Alex Jean-Charles University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA Mustafa Koc Isparta Suleyman Demirel University, Turkey

He Ning Nanjing University, China

Editorial Board:

Bertram Chip Bruce University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Peggy Placier University of Missouri-Columbia, USA Yang Changyong Southwest China Normal University China

Sharon Tettegah University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Fernando Galindo Universidad Mayor de San Simón, Bolivia

Susan Matoba Adler University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Carol Gilles University of Missouri-Columbia, USA
Julie Matthews University of the Sunshine Coast, Australia

Nezahat Guclu Gazi University, Turkey

Cushla KapitzkeUniversity of Queensland, AustraliaCatalina UlrichUniversitatea din Bucuresti, RomaniaRauf YildizYildiz Technical University, Turkey

Juny MontoyaUniversidad de Los Andes, Bogotá, ColombiaWinston Jumba AkalaCatholic University of Eastern Africa, KenyaKwok Keung HOHong Kong Institute of Education, Hong KongSara SalloumUniversity of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Mustafa Ulusoy Gazi University, Turkey
Pragasit Sitthitikul Walailak University, Thailand
Serkan Toy Iowa State University, USA

Catherine D Hunter University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Ismail Sahin Selcuk University, Turkey

Bongani Bantwini University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Cemalettin Ayas Ohio State University, USA Mehmet Acikalin Istanbul University, Turkey

Luisa Rosu University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA Caglar Yildiz University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Sheila L. Macrine Montclair State University, USA
Tuncay Saritas Iowa State University, USA
Hakan Dedeoglu Hacettepe University, Turkey
Ihsan Seyit Ertem University of Florida, USA

Youngyung Min

Raul Alberto Mora Velez

Van-Anthoney Hall

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Chan Raymond M.C. Hong Kong Baptist University
Pauline Sameshima Washington State University, USA

Martina Riedler University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Erdal Toprakci Cumhuriyet University, Turkey

Advisory Board

Lu YouquanEast China Normal University, ChinaMa HeminEast China Normal University, ChinaChrispen MatsikaGeorgia College & State University

Wei Liu University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Jeylan Woliye Hussein Almeya University, Ethiopia Zorhasni Zainal Abiddin University Pudra Malasia

The views expressed in this publication are not necessarily those of the Editor or the Editorial Review Board, nor the officers of the International Association of Educators (INASED). Copyright, 2006, International Association of Educators (INASED). ISSN 1554-5210

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 5, Number 3 October 2009

Articles

The Implementation of Project Citizen in Bolivian Schools: Perspectives from Three Teacher Educators

Authors: William Medina-Jerez, Carolyn Taylor & Carol Bryant

25 Preservice Teachers' Changes in Attitudes about Issues of Language

Authors: Hakan Dedeoglu & Linda Lamme

The Implementation of Project Citizen in Bolivian Schools: Perspectives from Three Teacher Educators

William Medina-Jerez*
Carolyn Taylor**
Carol Bryant***
University of Wyoming

Abstract

The central aim of the present paper is to examine, from the Social Studies, Modern Languages, and Science Education perspectives, the role of the Project Citizen initiative in school communities of Bolivia. To that end, Project Citizen in Bolivia, during its first three years of implementation will be discussed, followed by views from each field (Social Studies, Foreign Languages, and Science Education) regarding the effect of this educational approach in Bolivian schools. For this purpose, the four core values suggested by Robinson and Taylor (2007) will serve as a framework to explore student voice: 1. A conception of communication as dialogue, 2. The requirement for participation and democratic inclusivity, 3. The recognition that power relations are unequal and problematic, and 4. The possibility for change and transformation.

- * Dr. William Medina-Jerez, a Colombian native and Assistant Professor in the University of Wyoming's College of Education, teaches secondary science education courses. His research explores areas of science education for English language learners, science education in Less Developed Countries, and the field of ornithology.
- ** Dr. Carolyn Taylor is an Assistant Professor in the College of Education. She teaches modern languages methodology, second language acquisition and learning theories. The focus of her research includes: exploring the cognitive, social and affective benefits of foreign language study; the impact of NCLB legislation on foreign language education; and foreign language teacher education.
- *** Dr. Carol Bryant is an Associate Professor in the College of Education and codirector of the Wyoming Center for Civic Education. She teaches secondary social studies methods and curriculum studies at the University of Wyoming. Her research interests include the use of primary documents in teaching history, historical literacy, and cultures of origin.

Introduction

A poster, prominently displayed at the 2007 Human Development Fair in downtown La Paz, Bolivia reads:

"Mi Responsabilidad: Construir espacios de respeto con personas diferentes en edad, origen étnico, religión, orientación y gustos."

Paraphrased, it is my responsibility to live respectfully with persons who differ from me in age, origin, ethnicity, religion, orientation, and preferences. This fair raised awareness of issues of violence, discrimination, and intolerance. Early that day in November 2007, secondary students and their teachers from different provinces gathered at their assigned booths along the *El Prado* Avenue to showcase their Project Citizen portfolios. They wore school uniforms and were promptly organized by their sponsoring teachers to present their posters to the passing audience and international visitors. Their projects investigated socio-economic and environmental problems afflicting their communities.

This paper examines the role of the Project Citizen initiative in Bolivian schools and communities from the perspective of three teacher educators in the fields of science, social studies and modern languages. The authors will present an overview of Project Citizen, followed by a description of the socio-cultural context of Bolivia and an exploration of student voice in developing civic dispositions. Four core values suggested by Robinson and Taylor (2007) will serve as a framework for us to explore student voice:

- 1. A conception of communication as dialogue.
- 2. The requirement for participation and democratic inclusivity.
- 3. The recognition that power relations are unequal and problematic.
- 4. The possibility for change and transformation.

Project Citizen in Bolivia

Project Citizen, administered by the Center for Civic Education, is designed to enable young people to accept the rights and responsibilities of citizenship. It helps students learn to express their opinions, decide which level of government and which agency is most appropriate for dealing with problems they identify, and influence policy decisions at that level. Students work with each other and with teachers and adult volunteers as they identify a problem to study, gather information, examine solutions, develop public policy positions, and create action plans.

Project Citizen in Bolivia is in its fourth year of implementation. It is a cross-collaborative curricular initiative between Bolivian Educators for Democracy and the Wyoming/Bolivia Partnership under the auspices of Civitas International. It has involved the participation of over 3,000 students representing 43 schools located in four different Bolivian municipalities. In Bolivian Project Citizen schools, students work under the guidance of trained teachers in projects that target problems affecting their local community and that require skills from different disciplines in their design and implementation. Learning outcomes from this educational approach show that Bolivian students not only exhibit leadership skills beyond their school walls, they are also

mastering the content from different subjects (e.g., mathematics, science, and social studies) as they execute an inquiry-based process to solve real-life problems in their communities.

Students participating in Project Citizen are guided and encouraged by a Project Citizen-trained teacher to understand the problems in their communities, and most importantly, to learn about the possible solutions for those problems. According to Stimmann-Branson (1999), Project Citizen "demonstrates to them that government of, by, and for the people is not just a slogan. Government of, by, and for the people is obtainable, if citizens acquire the knowledge, use their skills, and have the will to effect change" (p. 6). Many of the projects presented during the Human Development Fair day in La Paz dealt with respect, fairness, and environmental concerns. These are issues Bolivian students had identified as relevant to them and their communities.

During our visits to Bolivia, we, the researchers, met with a cadre of Project Citizen-trained teachers and other educators. These meetings took place in various schools and buildings in downtown La Paz. Seated on sturdy wooden chairs arranged in a horseshoe formation, we listened first to a number of welcoming speeches, then to their accounts of the power of Project Citizen in their various classrooms.

The educators involved in Project Citizen ranged in age from early-career teachers to seasoned veterans. At least three principals attended the meetings; the project director is also a former principal. There seemed to be a nearly equal balance of men and women, although two of the principals and the director were female. Most of the teachers with whom we interacted taught in either La Paz or neighboring El Alto, usually in public schools. Some taught in one school in the morning and another in the afternoon as a source of additional income.

Training of Project Citizen teachers is generally conducted after school, or over an extended weekend in which participants work through all the stages of assembling a portfolio similar to the ones they will teach their students to assemble. Portfolios include: identifying a problem that can be addressed through public policy, possible alternatives, proposed policy, and action plan. Such a training process better prepares teachers to anticipate student difficulties, such as determining issues that can be addressed by public policy, or reasonable alternatives to the problem under investigation. Teachers who participate in intensive Project Citizen training often become trainers themselves.

Positioning the Researchers: Perspectives from Three Teacher Educators

The present paper relates the findings of case studies conducted by three teacher educators from the fields of science, social studies, and modern languages who explored Project Citizen as a tool to empower participating Bolivian students in effectuating positive change in the schools they attend and the communities in which they live. A case study allows researchers to "explore a single phenomenon bounded by time and activity and collect detailed information by using a variety of procedures during a sustained period of time" (Creswell, 1994, p. 12). This investigation came about because, to date, there is a paucity of civic education research in Bolivia. The researchers observed classrooms and Project Citizen presentations, interviewed

participating students and their teachers, and served as participant observers of Project Citizen training from 2006 to the present.

Insights from a Social Studies Teacher Educator

As a social studies teacher educator, teaching pre-service teachers to develop in their students the critical thinking skills and reasoning necessary to become informed citizens is part of my professional obligation. As part of my service to education in the state of Wyoming, and, out of conviction that Project Citizen is a viable program for introducing students to participatory civic engagement, I have served as a judge at Project Citizen showcases, have taught the program to my preservice students, and have even served as a keynote speaker at the statewide showcase.

Three years ago I accompanied a delegation of teachers and policy makers to Bolivia, where we met with our Bolivian partners in the Civitas International program. We visited urban and rural schools, observing student projects. We also met with teachers and policy makers, including education officials and members of the Bolivian Congress. Our purpose was to–support and learn from the work of our Project Citizen colleagues. Although I speak almost no Spanish and depended upon interpreters while watching student presentations, I was struck by both the similarities and differences between their projects and those I had seen in the U.S.

In Wyoming, I had seen student presentations on such topics as school safety, bully-proofing, the need for a recreation center in an isolated community, and proposals for a consistent school discipline policies. In Bolivia, the projects also centered on safety issues, such as the need for school buses so that students could reach school physically and verbally unmolested, the need for protection from harassment and petty theft as students walked to and from school, the need for electricity in a isolated community, and the desire for respect from teachers and parents. Although I do not want to take away from the importance of the problems the Wyoming students identified, I feel that there was a significant difference in urgency and intensity between their projects and those of the Bolivian students.

As an educator, I have shared my perceptions of Project Citizen in Bolivia at conferences and in my classroom, but I have also shared them with secondary school Wyoming Project Citizen participants, hoping that awareness of similar, shared problems might broaden the perspectives of those students.

Insights from a Science Teacher Educator

I have been a member of the Wyoming-Bolivia partnership for the last three years. During this time, I have attended student presentations both in Bolivia and Wyoming, visited Bolivian schools where the Project Citizen curriculum is being implemented, and also served as translator during Project Citizen workshops offered in Bolivia and Wyoming. As a faculty member in a science teacher preparation program, I started exposing my pre-service science teachers to the Project Citizen curriculum as an instructional model they consider for their future interactions in science classrooms. Repeated calls from international science education standards continue to encourage science teachers to promote learning experiences that support

scientific literacy in their classrooms. These reforms suggest cross curricular connections as a way to achieve this goal.

From the science education perspective, there are strong resemblances between the Project Citizen instructional approach and learning science from an inquiry-oriented perspective. In both learning contexts, students reach a consensus on a researchable question they deem worth investigating; learners also gather background information that may help them frame their action plans (experiments). In both cases students also go through continuous deliberation processes that allow them to refine their understanding of the phenomenon under investigation; having a conceptual understanding of the circumstances (variables) surrounding the occurrence of the phenomenon, learners used evidence to propose and defend their explanations in light of alternative explanations.

Based on my interest in the effectiveness of this curriculum, I have begun using it as an instructional model in my environmental and science education courses for pre-service teachers. The first experience took place in the spring semester of 2009 when I engaged my students in a semester-long project in which they were exposed to the same hurdles and success high school students experience when they execute Project Citizen projects. From my students' feedback, I envision an increase in the science-social studies connection that may yield a positive alternative for the teaching and learning of science.

As a Hispanic having experienced myriad learning and teaching environments in my home country, I feel deeply connected with the realities of the Bolivian educational system. I am committed to share my skills as an educator and researcher to better provide my college students, the Bolivian students, and their teachers with opportunities to learn together which may result in significant changes in their lives as learners and teachers of science.

Insights from a Modern Languages Teacher Educator

In November 2008, representatives from the Wyoming-Bolivia Partnership, University of Wyoming College of Education, *Instituto Normal Superior Simón Bolivar* and *Educadores para la Democracia* signed a memorandum of agreement to pursue the following principal goals:

- 1. to enhance multi-disciplinary collaboration in the preparation of preservice teachers;
- 2. to support the Spanish and English language proficiency and cultural knowledge of Bolivia and Wyoming on the part of student and faculty participants; and
- 3. to promote and examine the civic engagement of educators in the context of Project Citizen and service learning projects.

From the perspective of a modern languages teacher educator responsible for preparing future Spanish, French and German secondary teachers, my participation in Project Citizen in Bolivia stems, in part, from my desire to work toward accomplishing the aforementioned goals set forth in the memorandum of agreement. Primarily, I hope to create rich cross-cultural, Spanish language and Hispanic cultural

learning experiences for my pre-service Spanish teachers through participation in home stays with Bolivian families and teaching internships in Bolivian schools. In turn, I hope to make possible similar experiences for my students' Bolivian peers who are pre-service English-as-a-Second-Language teachers at the *Instituto Normal Superior Simón Bolivar*. As future language educators, pre-service teachers must have a strong command of the language they will teach and culturally-rich first-hand experiences living in various countries where their target language is spoken.

So it was with an eye on how my involvement with Project Citizen in Bolivian schools could benefit my own students that I ventured forth into learning as much as I could about the Bolivian people, their languages and cultures against the backdrop of Project Citizen. Being bilingual in English and French, I was able to understand a fair amount of Spanish while in Bolivia. To my frustration, however, I lacked the ability to communicate with Bolivians beyond simplistic conversations. This reaffirmed my desire to learn Spanish and to discover more about socio-cultural aspects of the Bolivian people, both of Hispanic and indigenous heritage.

Having discussed the role of Project Citizen in Bolivian schools and communities from a multidisciplinary teacher education perspective, it is important to provide an overview of the socio-political context of Bolivia and to highlight the research base relative to youth participation in public life. Thus, the next two sections will share insights about the Bolivian socio-political landscape and will underscore the role education can and should play as a vehicle for youth to identify, explore, and pursue solutions to problems they face in their daily lives and immediate social environments.

Socio-Political Context of Bolivia

The history of Bolivia is marked by complex divisions in its society. Starting with the Spanish Conquest that caused cultural and social clashes, Bolivian communities as well as others in the region, went through unstable political times and saw their cultural heritage slip away. Some indigenous groups kept their way of being and living simply by virtue of their geographical location and social organization. In 1825, Bolivia won its independence from Spain but it did not bring about an automatic improvement of its citizens' living standards. Indigenous communities continued their revolution, especially in the countryside, while urban and rural life was characterized by growing socio-economic imbalances. Economically, Bolivia depended on mining resources, initially under the control of a few influential citizens who also shaped the political scenario of the nation. In 1952, the *Movimiento Revolucionario Nacionalista (MNR)* (Nationalist Revolutionary Movement) brought significant changes to the community. For example, Bolivians were no longer required to be literate to participate in public elections; mines were nationalized; and rural education gained the attention of the national government.

In her book, *The Citizen Factory*, Aurolyn Luykx (1999) refers to sociocultural elements of the Bolivian society that make it difficult to conceptualize a unifying Bolivian nationalism. She notes that:

Bolivia is not well consolidated as a nation, and many see this as a primary cause of its underdevelopment. Some of its most characteristic features are

also its most formidable obstacles to "national unity": linguistic diversity, a conflictive past, the persistence of indigenous cultures, a strong working-class consciousness, sharp social inequalities, and marked regional differences. These features define *lo boliviano* for many, but also tend to pull any notion of a unified "Bolivian society" away from a common center (p. 18).

Today regional confrontations among members of racial groups and political parties continue to threaten the stability of the Bolivian democracy. Although the current president has made efforts to bring pro-autonomy groups to the dialogue table, his efforts have been received with indifference and even dismissed by members of these communities. It seems then that a national unity potentially liberating Bolivia from internal confrontations may be far away from realization; instead, regional allegiances prevail over a unifying Bolivian sentiment.

The traditional notion that foreigners may have about Bolivia focuses on "media portrayals of the cocaine trade and a vague awareness of Indians and llamas" (Luykx, 1999. p. xi), and more recently, on political tensions with the United States that are linked to internal outbursts of violence in the northern states. Currently, ambassadors of both countries have been removed until political relationships get reestablished. Bolivia is much more; it is a diverse and culturally rich multi-ethnic and a multi-lingual country. While 60% of the people speak Spanish, since 1999 the government has also recognized Quechua, Aymara, and Guaraní, and over 33 other indigenous languages. This country also has a great geographical diversity, including the Andes Mountains, the *altiplano* (Plateau region), the *pampas* (plains), and the Amazon Basin jungle.

In a country of great needs and great potential, substantial socio-economic disproportions place a burden on most citizens who wage a daily struggle to survive (AIN, 2007). Bolivia still maintains one of the highest poverty rates in Latin America. Taking into account income rates, 63 percent of Bolivians are poor, an indicator that is well above that of the region, which is 36 percent (Contreras & Talavera-Simoni, 2003). Much of the social division has been the product of the distance separating the poor indigenous majority and the elite *criollo* minority, and the small middle class *mestizo* group in between, aligning, depending on the circumstances, with either of the first two groups (Luykx, 1999).

In terms of education, there are disparities between urban and rural populations. For instance, while the 2001 illiteracy rate for urban males was 2.5 percent, it was four times greater for urban women (10.1 percent) and 15 times greater for rural women (37.9 percent) (Contreras & Talavera-Simoni, 2003). By the early 1990s, The World Bank described the Bolivian education system as (1) suffering from a weak administration, (2) excluding the primary beneficiaries from the decision making processes, (3) having a poor coverage and quality, (4) practicing an inappropriate management of resources for public education, and (5) dealing with of obstacles for educational attainment (i.e., teacher preparation, attention to non-speaking Spanish populations) (Contreras & Talavera-Simoni, 2003).

By 1994, the Education Reform Law came into place. It was an effort to address the aforementioned deficiencies in the education system of the country. The

reform introduced a constructivist approach centered on active learning, bilingual and intercultural education, a new grade level structure, testing to measure academic achievement in the 12,000 schools of the education system, and the expansion of the teaching profession to practitioners in other professional fields.

Having highlighted the socio-political context of Bolivia, let us now consider facets of youth participation in public life and examine the role of Project Citizen as a vehicle to empower students to find their voices through civic education.

Youth Participation in Public Life

Phelan, Davidson and Cao (1991) define culture as "the norms, values, beliefs and attitudes that are characteristic of a group" (p. 228). These authors assume students' everyday situations at home, school and within their social and personal contexts as occurrences of multiple worlds. Since these worlds are shaped by the attitudes, values, beliefs, expectations and actions that characterize family, school, and social settings, then it is likely that attitudes and contexts with accentuated regionalism, and social and cultural clashes will continue to disrupt the daily life activities of Bolivian citizens. Students, as any other citizens, will inherit and exercise those attitudes and expectations practiced at home and in their neighborhoods. This phenomenon poses a great challenge for schools, parents and community leaders. If a transformative agenda is to be implemented in schools, students must have the opportunity to participate in establishing democratic goals.

Since the habits of mind and dispositions that inform democratic actions are not automatically acquired, it often falls upon educators to instill and nurture habits that will inform future citizens' decisions and participation in their local democratic scenarios (Pearson & Voke, 2003). From a constructivist educational perspective, open and participatory school scenarios in which students are major investors should be exercised, as they favor meaningful learning opportunities.

As pointed out by Stemmann-Branson (1999) "democracy is not a machine that would go of itself, but must be consciously reproduced, one generation after another" (p. 1). National and international education standards in the social studies, modern languages and science education programs aptly correspond with these goals; these documents have made repeated calls to practice and nurture civic dispositions (NRC, 1996; ACTFL 2006; and NCSS 1994). Likewise, other international organizations such as The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (1989; UN General Assembly Resolution 44/25) point out how important it is to allow children to play participative roles and express their sentiments with regard to issues affecting their immediate contexts (Robinson & Taylor, 2007). In 1999, the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA) tested 120,000 students from 28 countries as part of the IEA Civic Education Study. Students aged 14 -17 responded to two instruments intended to explore how schools in those countries prepare young people to participate in democratic scenarios. The findings of the Civic Education Study indicate that: (1) there is a common core of topics across countries in civic education classes, (2) none of the participating countries are achieving the goals of civic education, (3) teacher preparation is an area of concern in some countries where there is a disjunction between the intended curriculum for civic education and the social and political reality in that society, and

finally (4) despite teachers' efforts to have students interested in participating in public policy and politics, students often show a dissatisfaction for these areas (Torney-Purta, Schwille, & Amadeo, 1999).

Students' Voices in Civic Education

Preparation for participation in civil society is an ongoing theme in social studies education. One premise of Project Citizen is that "civic education is at its best when young people study problems and issues that are [an] important part of their lives in their schools and communities" (Patrick, Vontz, & Nixon, 2002, p. 102). The problems identified by the Bolivian students corresponded to the call in social studies education that supports attention to the common good, individual and multiple perspectives, and the application of knowledge, skills, and values to civic action (National Council for the Social Studies [NCSS], 1994). When Bolivian students shared their projects with Wyoming educators, it helped them reframe and consider their issues in more global terms. Given that the Wyoming delegation related that many of the problems that the students presented were shared in other parts of the world, students had the opportunity to learn to "initiate analysis of the interactions among states and nations and their cultural complexities as they respond to global events and changes" (NCSS, 1994, p. 29). Furthermore, they were encouraged to "think systematically about personal, national, and global decisions, interactions, and consequences, including addressing critical issues such as peace, human rights, trade, and global ecology" (NCSS, p. 29). Additionally, they learned more about power, governance, and authority in their countries.

Research addressing students' participation in school and public policy suggests that "student voice can serve as a catalyst for change in schools" (McGregor, 2007, p. 101). Likewise, Fielding (2004) supports the notion that students' active participation in and outside the school can serve as a form of assessment of civic dispositions and engagement. Posh (1999) found that students need to be given space and opportunities to become active participants in public scenarios where their voices and decisions could positively affect the physical and social contexts of their communities. Others (McGregor, 2007; Ruddock & Flutter, 2000) support this view, identifying students as 'social agents', or 'partners' in the democratic education initiatives.

In the following section we offer a sample of the kind of work being developed by a group of students from the *Armando Escobar Uria* High School in the city of *El Alto*, Bolivia.

A Solid Waste Management Project Citizen Project

To document student involvement in Project Citizen, we followed the case of six eighth-grade students from the *Armando Escobar Uria* School of El Alto, Bolivia as they explored the problem of garbage disposal in their neighborhood. The students were mentored by Project Citizen-trained science and social studies teachers, and Educators for Democracy, a Bolivian non-profit organization.

A group of six female students took on the challenge of solving garbage disposal issues affecting their school community. Iveth, an eloquent fifteen-year-old

student and the leader of the committee confided that although they brainstormed other equally important issues (e. g., community safety and drugs) they believed they could contribute more by embarking on a project that could provide a good educational experience to all students that they could carry with them after school.

As their problem, students identified the accumulation of garbage and the presence of a dumping site in their neighborhood, drawing dogs and flies and emanating odors. The students compiled background information focusing on the three Rs (Recycle, Reduce, Reuse); they shared these ideas and findings during school assemblies and classroom visits with peers using posters, signs, displays, and drawings to gain support for their position. Next, they consulted with their local Office of Environmental Quality to learn about the legal aspects of waste management, gather printed materials, and receive training on how to select, sort, and dispose of recyclable materials. Students and teachers met biweekly during recess to track their progress.

In the second phase of the project, the students dealt with three alternative solutions to the problem: a community awareness campaign; the enforcement of the Bolivian Environmental Law 1333; and a solid waste management program which received good support from all students in the group. Before drafting their public policy statement, students, with the assistance of their social studies teacher, examined and discussed the Bolivian constitution to determine if their proposal was legal. Upon agreeing on the feasibility of their project, students prepared an action plan to carry the proposed policy to be implemented by a government agency. The action plan also included provisions for dealing with negative responses they might receive from government officials. For instance, they discussed potential ways to win the favor of government officials and address groups that might oppose the proposed program.

After several months of preparation, the students presented their project before the City Council of El Alto; they also shared it with Wyoming students via a Digital Video Conference at the American Embassy in La Paz. The oral presentation was intended to inform the audience of the importance of the problem, to explain and evaluate alternative policies, to discuss the group's proposed policy, and to share how they would garner support. Both the oral presentation and project portfolio was assessed by the teachers and members of the *Educadores para la Democracia* organization.

Several months elapsed after the public presentation without the students hearing back from the government official who promised to study and provide students with feedback regarding their proposal. Unfortunately, feedback never arrived. Nonetheless, students continued their efforts by implementing a recycling program within their own school community. The students set up three colors of recycling boxes around the school for paper and cardboard, plastic materials, and organic compost materials. Similar efforts were made in the surrounding neighborhoods to help eradicate the dumping sites in front of the school. The monies earned through recycling allowed the students to remodel the basketball court and purchase several new library books for their school. The students still hope to expand these efforts to other schools and market areas in their community.

Core Values in Understanding Student Voice in Civic Education

Students' involvement and active participation in public life render many dividends that positively influence their own preparation as future citizens. Contemporary education movements are oriented toward the recognition that effective leadership skills exist among entities and organizations rather than resting with a few members of the community (e.g., community leaders) (McGregor, 2007). Educational practices like those embodied in Project Citizen encourage students to be active and valuable agents in effectuating changes in social networks that may extend beyond the school context. Robinson and Taylor (2007) have suggested a framework that may facilitate the understanding of students' voice as an ethical and moral practice in schools. This approach consists of four core values: (1) a conception of communication as dialogue, (2) the requirement for participation and democratic inclusivity, (3) the recognition that power relations are unequal and problematic, and (4) the possibility of change and transformation. Each core value will be discussed, in turn, within the scope of Project Citizen in Bolivian schools based on the researchers' experiences and perceptions.

1. A conception of communication as dialogue

Project Citizen in Bolivian schools provides an opportunity for students to exercise democratic values when they work in teams to address pressing issues in their local communities. Communication and oral skills are inherent to the process of identifying and documenting the problem and defending an action plan within the group in the classroom and later in other public scenarios. Project Citizen favors this disposition by means of guiding students through the process of problem solving. In Project Citizen classrooms, teacher-led discourses are replaced with student-led dialogues. Such dialogues are conducive to dynamic interactions that occur through "active listening in joint inquiry which is respectful, attentive and committed to positive change" (Fielding, 2004, p. 8). Likewise, in Project Citizen learning environments, students exercise Freire's (1968) view of communication as a dialogue with respect, a bilateral sharing of ideas in which students work toward the achievement of mutual goals without the imposition of an individual's point of view. Healthy interactions of this sort were cultivated during a two-year period that it took to develop the garbage disposal project. In addition to meeting with their teachers, students also visited the school surroundings to document community members' views on the issue under investigation.

Mr. Gomez, the science mentor teacher, attested to the positive interactions that developed throughout the project. He related, "I feel quite satisfied with the progress my students have made so far. Students have become more grounded; they freely interact with the school community at large and even go into the neighborhoods sharing their project and getting feedback and support from local residents." Mr. Gomez's comments on his students' progress gain special relevance if we consider cultural traditions dictating that students should exhibit a submissive and quiet attitude at home and school.

For students such as Laura, a young seventh-grade student from a neighboring school, Project Citizen became her opportunity to offset her difficult home life. After participating in a Project Citizen project on the humane treatment of animals, Laura

has become more comfortable and confident in her interactions at school. Mr. Orihuela, her mentor teacher, proudly pointed to these kinds of outcomes in his students.

During the course of its implementation, Project Citizen has been well received by many school districts and administrators, teachers, students and parents. As indicated by a Project Citizen mentor teacher, "It is also our belief that Project Citizen has brought Bolivian participating schools a formidable opportunity to help students play a leadership role in their communities; it makes them articulate their thoughts with other members of the community." These are outcomes that address the notion of school goals held by Torney-Purta (2003), whereby students attain "a meaningful citizen identity during adolescent years" (p. 269).

2. The requirement for participation and democratic inclusivity.

The stability of democratic societies is based on their capacity to cultivate new generations' skills, attitudes, and knowledge for active citizenship (Torney-Purta, 2001). For Iveth and her colleagues from the environmental project group, speaking before each grade level in their school proved to be a true challenge. Despite receiving permission from the school principal to pursue their project, the environmental awareness campaign they mounted in school classrooms did not go as smoothly as they had envisioned. It was not initially supported by the student body; they even had to deal with contentious attitudes that made them reassess their plan and start over. Students' continuous support from and deliberations with their mentor teachers became an integral part of the teamwork, probably the best source of encouragement they could find to support their intentions to make their school a cleaner and healthier place.

In this scenario, Bolivian Project Citizen students are demonstrating, through their own community-based projects, that they can also be part of the decision-making process taking place in schools and at the government level as well. By publicly defending their projects, students have revealed voices that heretofore had not been heard. Moreover, attitudes and literacies have emerged from their own work in the study of socio-cultural and environmental problems in their neighborhoods. It is important to highlight here that despite the manifested commitment and exuberance of participating students, they do not enjoy the full support of all members of the school community, with the exception of social studies teachers. During their presentations, both students and the sponsoring teacher have stated their disappointment in failing to involve teachers from other subject areas in their school buildings.

A positive outcome resulting from the inclusive Project Citizen model is the fact that government agencies are now more willing to listen to student-generated projects and to consider students' action plans to solve issues within the purview of local and provincial governments. That students have already shared their ideas in public venues is, in and of itself, a great advancement in their preparation for participation in public life. Moreover, these efforts go a long way in validating an educational model that seeks to promote civic engagement within the schooling experience of Bolivian students.

3. The recognition that power relations are unequal and problematic.

Robinson and Taylor (2007) stated that student voice work begins with a realization that there is a differential in power relationships. Project Citizen encourages students to develop their voices in issues relative to their own experiences, particularly regarding school and community-related issues. The desire to have a voice was reflected in the range of projects students chose. Students presented their portfolios on issues ranging from a desire for increased respect of children and their opinions, to such issues as technology and sanitation in their villages and cities. In cases of community-action issues such as littering, students reported that they had had audiences with city officials or school authorities. Yet in other instances, the problems and solutions they proposed seemed more at the level of awareness building, particularly when they grappled with socio-cultural issues such as alcoholism and mental and physical abuse. In the latter case, it seemed that the verbalization and awareness of respect-related issues was a key first step in "coming to power" (Robinson & Taylor, 2007, p. 13). Supporting the premise that awareness was a critical first step was the recognition, repeated by students throughout the projects, that change takes time, that their action plan was a "first step," or that a project that would take several years.

Students, however, also seemed aware that their voices could be projected outside the confines of community and school settings. When asked what they might do if policy makers failed to respond to the problems and solutions they proposed, some students said that they would involve the media. Whatever they imagined that might look like, they were aware that they had options for participating in conversations beyond their immediate environment. Although Iveth and her team mates finally gained the support of the student body in their school, it did not guarantee that they would also accomplish their goal at the local level after sharing their proposal with government officials. They were cognizant of the response they might receive from the authorities. As previously mentioned, the garbage disposal program proposed by the group of students was not well received by the local agency dealing with this type of issue. Anticipating this feedback, Iveth and her friends decided to make adjustments to rendering the project doable at the school level. While the students re-oriented their project, they continued contacting public officials trying to get the promised assistance they were offered during the project presentation at the American Embassy. Once again, students were left with no answer to their petitions.

Although it is still premature to determine the overall effectiveness of Project Citizen in empowering Bolivian students to participate in public life—the same students have declared that their project proposals need time and resources to come to fruition—there are assumptions that cannot escape from consideration. It is the recognition that "power inhabits all processes of social communication and that different social groups have differential access to, and in some cases privileged access to, forms of communicative and institutional power not equally available to all" (Robinson & Taylor, 2007, p. 12).

If a transformative agenda, which is at the core of Project Citizen, is to be pursued by youth groups, then it is of paramount importance for educators and community organizations to attend to the possibilities as well as the barriers that students may encounter along the way. It must be acknowledged that tacit relations

established at all levels in society, and treaties to function for the benefit of private interests, may hinder, to some degree, students' participation in public and political debates. These features may go undetected by students as they work toward the solution of a problem that involves power relations.

Subtle, but pervasive schooling practices that illustrate this point are found in classroom settings. It is here that students begin to assume their future roles and where their relationships with other community members are shaped by forces of invisible entities. For instance, from a science education perspective, the debate revolves around whether to embrace Western Modern Science (WMS) or local or 'ethnic' science as the guiding force of instruction in science classrooms around the world (Medina-Jerez, 2007).

4. The Possibility of Change and Transformation

Several projects presented in the showcase during the Human Development Fair revolved around human-to-human and human-to-land interactions, as reported by a member of the planning committee. Students took full advantage of this opportunity to, "make visible those concerns in their school communities and promote actions that may contribute to their solution." As previously mentioned, any prediction about the future of the students' projects would be inaccurate since most of the project proposals will require time and government intervention, which is subject to preconceived agendas. Yet, in other cases, the outcomes will be known only after action plans have been implemented over a given period of time. Nevertheless, there are some questions observers may pose: What is the future of students' work after the public defense of their Project Citizen portfolios? What will happen with the information students have collected and presented to the public? Will students be given the needed attention and support in order to execute their action plans? In Robinson and Taylor's (2007) view, "when pupils' opinions are genuinely sought and when pupils are an 'initiating force in an inquiry process; it is likely that pupil voice work will lead to changes which will enhance pupils' experience of schooling" (p. 14). In the case of the Bolivian students participating in Project Citizen, recent outcomes help us to confirm that Project Citizen does positively affect students' performance because (1) of the nature of the targeted problems are related to the interests and needs of secondary students across the globe, (2) the learning outcomes that result from its implementation show gains in students' citizenship skills, and (3) the problems students solve in their communities require that they practice skills that can be reinforced in different school subjects such as science, social studies, mathematics, and communication (Stimman Branson, 1999).

The National Council for the Social Studies (1994) cites a number of persistent issues and dilemmas in a democratic society that are relevant to the public policy problems presented by the Bolivian students. Represented in the students' portfolios are such issues as individual rights/public safety and national/state/local community control. One issue characteristic of public policy problems is the conversation surrounding community justice. "Community justice, in its traditional form in indigenous Andean villages... emphasizes reconciliation and rehabilitation" involving "re-education of community members who violate collective norms and rules, and the reincorporation of these offenders back into the community" (Goldstein, 2008). Community justice is based on ancient practices that depend on three rules:

Don't Steal; Don't Lie; and Don't be Lazy. Practiced fairly, it ensures that problems are handled expediently and at the local level where they occur.

Students attending the Human Development Fair described the practice differently, citing vigilante practices in regard to theft. They explained that apprehended thieves were often beaten or hanged. They saw the problem as exacerbated by lack of control by the police, lack of control of immigration, corruption, and a lack of respect for human rights. Given that community justice has historically been pervasive in rural and indigenous cultures, it was noteworthy that students from both urban and rural schools presented it as a problem. The former may reflect the migration of people from the countryside into the populated areas of La Paz and El Alto.

The students' proposed solutions reflected their emerging understanding of the importance of Bolivia's Constitution and of the rights for all people. While government leadership has suggested that a revised Constitution reassert the legal practice of community (or communal) justice in indigenous areas, students seemed more concerned about the rights of all people. They supported such actions as local control by communities of police academies, economic incentives to alleviate poverty, and even whistles to alert others in the event of theft. The fact that community justice is an issue addressed in the students' portfolios may also indicate a tug between traditional and progressive views and may signal the potential for future social change.

Recent developments in international assessment initiatives (e. g., IEA Civic Education study) have produced a great sense of confidence among public officials, educators and citizenry from Latin American countries who have joined conversations at the local and national levels to discuss what they see as the true meaning of democracy in their communities (Reimers, 2007). These kinds of actions intersect the educational reform taking place in various Latin American countries, and with it, a germane opportunity to embrace initiatives such as Project Citizen that intend to provide students and citizens with the needed skills and attitudes to participate in public life in their communities. Nevertheless, sustaining these changes in school classrooms also requires embracing and supporting educational change to support teachers' key roles in mentoring cohorts of students in their institutions. This recommendation is offered as a cautionary note in light of the instability that characterizes educational systems in Latin America with the advent of each presidential period (Torres, 2000).

Currently, the environmental project carried out by Iveth and her teammates is in its second year of implementation at the school level. Group members express their satisfaction to see how well the environmental campaign is working in their school. Leticia, another member of the environmental project describes with pride what she believes is a great accomplishment:

Right now students deposit recyclable materials in the right containers, they [containers] are not vandalized...the garbage dumping site in front of the school is gone! It was because the students took the campaign home so they helped eradicate this problem just by sharing at home the school campaign...I did not even know about recycling, I used to throw garbage anywhere, but

now I realize that it is possible. I even practice these measures [recycle, reduce, reuse] at home.

After such a success in school, Iveth, Leticia, Claudia, Jessica, and Judith plan to expand their campaign to other schools in the city. They also intend to target the 'Satellite Market' which they think is a major source of solid waste in their community.

Future Research

A "so-what" question arises with student empowerment and with Project Citizen: Do the skills and dispositions brought to awareness in one school year or one project carry over into future issues of investigation? A longitudinal study of students' civic dispositions and involvement would add much to the discussion of student voice and practices that lead to student empowerment.

Another question is the one raised by Fielding (2001, in Robinson & Taylor, 2007, p. 11). How much of what is heard in Project Citizen presentations is emancipatory, building on "rich traditions of democratic renewal and transformation" and how much is "further entrenchment of existing assumptions and intentions using student or pupil voice as an additional mechanism of control?" Teasing out the variables integral to such a question and posing them in light of the processes of Project Citizen might shed more light on its effectiveness.

Our delegation observed the use of Project Citizen in public schools that were characterized by a lack of resources and poverty and heavily populated by indigenous students. According to Parker (2003), while it is critical for the marginalized and disenfranchised to learn and use the processes of democracy, it is equally critical for the privileged to understand and be involved in the civic process. Therefore, a study of how Project Citizen is taught and used in private schools would also be of value.

Finally, a line of investigation conspicuously absent in the research agenda until now is the incorporation of Project Citizen in pre-service teacher programs. It is our intention to establish, through the existing partnership with our Bolivian colleagues, an exchange program for pre-service teachers in the social studies, modern languages, and science education programs. The goal is to provide internship opportunities for pre-service teachers of both countries so they experience in real classroom scenarios the implementation of the Project Citizen model.

Conclusions

Darder, Baltodano & Torres (2009) aptly relate, "As students develop the interest and ability to discuss and reflect on their lives, communities, and the broader world, questions inevitably arise as to how people change the world" (p. 317). The future of Project Citizen initiatives in school classrooms, particularly in Bolivia, will depend on how aspects of school culture (e.g., teacher involvement, backing from school administrators, etc.) either continue to support or neglect student-generated projects. By sustaining synergistic efforts among Wyoming Bolivia Partnership participants, the researchers hope that bilateral collaboration among Wyoming and Bolivian students, educators, and others will provide opportunities for participants to

further explore Project Citizen. In the end, the potential to benefit residents of both countries is substantial resulting in empowered, civic-minded youth who engage in measures to seek improvement of their quality of life in their respective societies.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their appreciation to the following entities for their support in conducting research in the context of Project Citizen: The Center for Civic Education: Civitas International; Educators for Democracy, La Paz, Bolivia; and The Wyoming Bolivia Partnership.

References

- American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL). (2006). Standards for Foreign Language Learning: Preparing for the 21st Century. Yonkers, NY: ACTFL, Inc.
- Andean Information Network (AIN). Retrieved from http://ain-bolivia.org/index.php?option=com_frontpage&Itemid=1 06/02/08.
- Contreras, M. E., & Talavera-Simoni, M. L. (2003). The Bolivian education reform 1992-2002: case studies in large-scale education reform. *The World Bank Education reform and management publication series*. Vol. II, No. 2.
- Creswell, J. (1994). *Research Design Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Darder, A., Baltodano, M. P., Torres, R. D. (Eds.). (2009). *The Critical Pedagogy Reader*. New York: Routledge.
- Fielding, M. (2001). Beyond the rhetoric of student voice: new departures or new constraints in the transformation of the 21st century schooling? *Forum*, 43(2), 100-110.
- Fielding, M. (2004) 'New wave' student voice and the renewal of civic society. London Review of Education, 2(3), 197–217.
- Friere, P. (1968) *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. New York, NY: Continuum.
- Goldstein, D. (2008). Community Justice in Bolivia: Beyond the Misconceptions. Retrieved from http://www.democracyctr.org/blog/archives/2008_03_01_democracyctr_archive.html 11/30/08.
- Luykx, A. (1999). The Citizen Factory: Schooling and Cultural Production in Bolivia. Albany, N.Y.: SUNY Press.

- McGregor, J. (2007). Recognizing student leadership: Schools and networks as sites of opportunities. *Improving Schools*, 10 (1), 86-101.
- Medina-Jerez, W. (2007). Conceptualizations of nature from science students in northeastern Colombia. *Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society*, 27(5), 377-385.
- National Council for the Social Studies (NCSS). (1994). *Expectations of Excellence:* Curriculum Standards for Social Studies. Silver Spring, MD: NCSS, Inc.
- National Research Council (NRC). (1996). *National Science Education Standards*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Parker, W. (2003). *Teaching Democracy: Unity and Diversity in Public Life*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Patrick, J. J., Vontz, T. S., and Nixon, W. A. (2002). Issue-centered education for democracy through Project Citizen. In Parker, W. (Ed.), *Education for Democracy: Contexts, Curricula, Assessments* Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- Pearson, S., & Voke, H. (2003). *Building and effective citizenry*. American Youth Policy Forum. Washington, D. C.
- Phelan, P., Davidson, A. and Cao, H. (1991) Students' multiple worlds: Negotiating the boundaries of family, peer and school culture. *Anthropology and Education Quarterly*, 22 (3), 224-250.
- Posch, P. (1999). The ecologization of schools and its implications for educational policy. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 29(3), 341–8.
- Reimers, F. (2007). Civic education when democracy is in flux: The impact of empirical research on policy and practice in Latin America. *Citizenship and Teacher Education*, 3(2), 1-17.
- Robinson, C., & Taylor, C. (2007). Theorizing student voice: values and perspectives. *Improving Schools*, 10 (1), 5-17.
- Ruddock, J. & Flutter, J. (2000). Pupil Participation and pupil perspective: Carving a new order of experience. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 30(1), 75-89.
- Stimmann-Branson, M (1999). Project Citizen: An introduction. Center for Civic Education: Articles, Papers and Speeches. Retrieved from http://www.civiced.org/index.php?page=papers_speeches 05/31/08.
- Torney-Purta, J., Schwille, J., & Amadeo, J-A. (1999). The IEA Civic Education Study: Expectations and Achievements of Students in Thirty Countries. *ERIC Digest*, EDO-SO-1999-10. 2 pages.

- Torney-Purta, J. (2001). Civic knowledge, belief in democratic institutions, and civic engagement among 14-year-olds. *Prospects*, 31(3), 279–292.
- Torney-Purta, J. & Amadeo, J. (2003). A cross-national analysis of political and civic involvement among adolscents. www.apsanet.org Retrieved 04/03/11
- Torney-Purta, J., Barber, C. Wilkenfield, B. & Homana, G. (2008). Profiles of civic life skills among adolescents: Indicators for researchers, policymakers, and the public. *Child Indicators Research*, *1*(1), 86-106.
- Torres, R. M. (2000). From agents of reform to subjects of change: The teaching crossroads in Latin America. *Prospects*, 30(2), 256-273.

Preservice Teachers' Changes in Attitudes About Issues of Language*

Dedeoglu, Hakan** Hacettepe University

Lamme, L., Linda*** University of Florida

Abstract

Given the overwhelming body of research addressing the cultural gap between preservice teachers and the students they will ultimately teach, the research concerned the examination of preservice teachers' attitudes toward to issues of language in college classes so as to improve the multicultural aspect of educational courses. We used statistical analyses of survey results filled out by two hundred seventy four preservice teachers as sources of data for addressing students' changes of attitudes related to language issues. Statistical analyses of the data indicate the closing gap between students who had lower scores and higher scores on the pre surveys. The implications for multicultural education and, professional development are discussed.

Key Words: Language, Multicultural education, Personal and Professional Beliefs, Diversity, Attitudes

- * This study has been produced from a doctoral dissertation titled "Changes in Attitudes about Diversity of Preservice Teachers in a Children's Literature Class" Chair: Linda L. Lamme, University of Florida
- ** Dr. Hakan Dedeoglu is a lecturer in the College of Education at the Hacettepe University, Ankara-Turkey. He teaches educational sociology and children's literature courses. His research focuses on areas of multicultural education and children's literature.
- *** Dr. Linda Leonard Lamme is a Professor in the College of Education. She teaches courses on children's literature at the University of Florida.

Introduction

A growing number of American schools enroll students a complex mix of races, cultures, languages, and religious affiliations. However, the adults who teach this mix of children remain largely homogeneous – white, female, monolingual, Christian adults (Banks, 2004; Cochran-Smith, 2004; Nieto, 2004; Sleeter & Grant, 1999). We must also acknowledge that this gap is increasing year by year. The most recent information available on the nation's teaching force draws a profile that is very different from the student profile. White teachers currently represent 86 % of the teaching force and the vast majority (80%-93%) of students enrolled in teacher education programs are white students. Zimpher & Ashburn (1992) add that a large number of the faculty responsible for teaching prospective educators also come from a similar backgrounds. It seems that the teaching force profile will remain primarily White European American. Therefore it is unavoidable that "These shared characteristics create a cycle where teachers reinforce similar generalizations, biases, prejudices, and mores about diverse others" (Gallagher-Geurtsen, T., 2007).

The conditions of students with and without the advantages of race, culture, language, and socioeconomic status, as well as the access to resources like equipment, supplies, physical facilities, books, computer technology, and class size, show huge differences between urban, suburban and rural schools (Cothran & Ennis, 2000; Darling-Hammond, 1995; Gould, 1996; Kozol, 1991, 1995). The educational implications of these differences between student population and teaching force are far greater than statistical numbers if we look at the experiences of most teachers who speak only English while many students speak a first language that is not English (Gay, 1993; Irvine, 1997). The Census 2000 estimates that 82% of people in the United States are monolingual English speakers. Therefore it is become more important for teachers to meet the language needs of their students if we consider the growing number of immigrant students in our schools.

Issues of Language

Thiong'o (1995) stated that "Language carries culture, and culture carries, particularly through culture and literature, the entire body of values by which we come to perceive ourselves and our place in the world". Similarly Gallagher-Geurtsen (2007) points the larger societal and political systems to place the issue of language that, "the language/culture of classroom curriculum and instruction is a contested terrain that cannot be extracted from, for example, political interests, history, issues of economics, and human rights" (p.41). Moreover she stated that, "Over time, dominant groups have assigned a particular status to languages and often place English at the top of their lists. The unequal status afforded different languages can translate into troubling cultural practices" (p.41). More practically Brown & Kysilka (2002) explain that "The dominant English-speaking culture in the United States has a legacy of being intolerant toward speakers of other languages. ...In schools, teachers and students have too often discriminated against individuals who speak limited English or have a strong accent (p.39). McIntosh's (1988) lists the daily advantages of native standard English speakers. Some of these privileges form her lists are:

• I do not feel the need to make my name more like "everyone else's," for example, anglicizing Beatriz to Betty or Estalex to Stanley.

- I can speak my native language and interact using my native culture at school and at work without being considered suspicious or secretive.
- I can easily take classes in my native language and culture while I learn a second language and culture.
- If I want to learn a second language, I can begin taking classes that take into consideration how much of that second language I have already acquired.
- I can learn my first language/culture first and my second language/culture second, etc.
- I do not feel the need to eliminate my accent.
- Most of the time, I feel that I understand what my teacher says and does.
- When I take a standardized test, I can take it in my stronger language and feel confident that it represents what I know (pp. 2-5).

This list points the importance of effective teaching process especially in the multilingual classrooms. Lucas, Henze, and Donato (1990) identified eight factors that have successful results with language minority students for schools:

- Value is placed on the students' languages and cultures
- High expectations of language-minority students are made concrete
- School leaders make the education of language-minority students a priority
- Staff development is explicitly designed to help teachers and other staff serve language minority students more effectively
- A variety of courses and programs for language-minority students is offered
- A counseling program gives special attention to language-minority students through counselors (who understand those students linguistically as well as culturally)
- Parents of language-minority students are encouraged to become involved in their children's education
- School staff members share a strong commitment to empower language-minority students through education (pp. 324-325).

Teachers Attitudes and Beliefs

Research findings support the idea that both teacher attitudes and beliefs drive classroom actions (Nespor, 1987; Richardson, 1996). People's views of reality are socioculturally constructed and given personal meaning by their sociocultural experiences. They therefore interpret the world and their experiences differently. Cobern (1991) described a worldview as "the foundational belief, i.e., presuppositions, about the world that support both common sense and scientific theories" (p.7). The personal experiences of teachers help form their educational worldviews, intellectual and educational dispositions, beliefs about self in relation to others; understanding of the relationship of schooling to society, and other forms of personal, familial and cultural understandings (Richardson, 1996). In addition, ethnic, racial, and social backgrounds, along with gender, geographic location and religious affiliations, affect how individuals learn to teach and their actual teaching (Richardson, 1996). Teachers' reflections on personal and classroom events are examined through the lens of their worldviews, beliefs, attitudes, and images (Clandinin, 1986; Richardson, 1996). Similarly Lisa Delpit (1995) stated that, "We do not really see through our eyes or hear through our ears, but through our beliefs" (p. 46).

Purpose of the Study

This study addressed the following questions:

- How does the demography of preservice teachers' influence their beliefs on the issues of language in diversity context?
- What are the changing attitudes and beliefs, if any, of preservice teachers' views on the issues of language in diversity context?

Demographic Profile of the Participants

The data for this study were drawn from a population of preservice teachers enrolled in a teacher education program in the South. Approximately, 90% (n=247) of respondents were female and 10% (n=27) were male; and 23% (n=63) of the respondents were 19 years of age, 47% (n=128) were 20 years of age, 21% (n=58) were 21 years of age, 5% (n=14) were 22 years of age, .5% (n=1)were 23 years age, 2% (n=6) were 24 years and older age, 1.5% (n=4) were left the age item as blank. Demographic data suggests that 86% (n=235) of the respondents were juniors, 10% (n=27) senior, 4% (n=12) sophomores; 18% (n=50) of respondents had taken 0-1 courses related to multicultural themes, 56% (n=153) of respondents had taken 2-3 classes, and 20% (n=56) of respondents had taken 4 or more courses with multicultural themes, and 6% (n=15) percent of the respondents did not respond to this item, and 85% (n=223) of respondents were monolingual and 15% (n=41) of them stated that they know more than one language. And demographic variables demonstrates that 54% (n=149) of respondents were Protestant, 22% (n=59) were Catholic, 9% (n=24) Jewish, 2% (n=6) were described themselves other than listed groups, and 13% (n=36) did not choose any options and 29% (n=80) of the respondents had inner-city program experiences as a volunteer or staff member, while 57 % (n=157) of them indicated they had no experiences of any inner-city program, and 14% (n=37) of them did not respond to this item.

Instrument

The Personal and Professional Beliefs about Diversity Scale was developed by Pohan, and Aguilar (1994). It consisted of two beliefs scales about diversity. For the 15-item Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale, different issues are posed within the context of one's personal sphere or worldview (e.g., relationships, raising children, treatment by others, living conditions, and collective stereotypes). The 25-item Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale consists of items measuring diversity with respect to (a) race/ethnicity, (b) gender, (c) social class, (d) sexual orientation, (e) disabilities, (f) language, and (g) religion. These areas reflect an evolution of topics and contexts throughout the various test development phases. In this study statistical analysis of items and item groups related to issues of language and their comparison with demographic variables used as sources of data for addressing the changes related to language within the context of diversity. As an indication of reliable alpha value over .70 was found both pre and post surveys. For The Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale alpha scores were; Pre-test alpha: .768 (n: 274), and Post-test alpha: .799 (n: 274); The Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale alpha scores were; Pretest alpha: .790 (n: 274), and Post-test alpha: .801 (n: 274).

Data Analysis

Participants were given the Personal and Professional Beliefs about Diversity Scales as pre and post surveys. The first survey was conducted during the first class session and the second survey done during the last class session. Responses to the 15-item Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale and the 25-item Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale are summed to generate a single scale scores for each respondent as well as item groups' scores and items' scores were examined individually for different statistical purposes. The relationships between nominal independent demographic variables and interval dependent variables as survey scores were explored through t-tests for two levels of independent variables and ANOVA for more than two levels of independent variables. Cross tabulations were used to show the distribution of same items on different scales. Survey items related to language issues reflect the importance of first language as well as importance of being bilingual. Personal Beliefs Scale includes 1 item and Professional Beliefs Scale includes 3 items related to language issues. These items;

Personal Beliefs Scale Items

14. It is more important for immigrants to learn English than to maintain their first language. (Reversed Item)

Professional Beliefs Scale Items

- 6. All students should be encouraged to become fluent in a second language.
- 16. Whenever possible, second language learners should receive instruction in their first language until they are proficient enough to learn via English instruction.
- 23. Students should not be allowed to speak a language other than English while in school. (Reversed Item)

Demographics and Their Influence on the issues of Language

The First research question examined the relationship with demographic variables, and survey items and pre and post survey results. For statistical analysis to describe language related item group, abbreviations such as LANG1 to describe Pre-Personal, LANG2 Post-Personal, LANG3 Pre-Professional, and LANG4 Post Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scales were used.

Religious Denomination

The question on the demographic information sheet "How would you describe your religious denomination?" shows that 42% (n=116) of respondents described themselves, as liberal, 55% (n=150) as conservative and 3% (n=8) gave no response. To assess the effects of religious denomination on survey item groups' independent t-tests were administered. Table 1 represents the t-tests results between religious denomination and item groups of the scales. The results from the analysis indicate that there are significant differences between liberal and conservative groups in items of language t (df= 264) = 3.211, p< .05, of Pre-Personal Belief About Diversity Scale,

language t (df= 264) = 3.708, p< .05, of Pre-Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale. The mean values indicate that the liberal group have significantly higher scores than conservative group in all item groups. The results from the independent t-test analysis of religious denomination difference on each survey items indicated Liberal group have significantly higher scores on the items 14 on the Pre-Personal Beliefs Scale and items 16, and 23 on the Pre-Professional Beliefs Scale.

Table 1 Summary of t-tests, Religious denomination - Items Group (Language)

	Religious	N	Mean	Std.	T	df	Sig.	(2-Mean
	denomination			Deviation			tailed)	Difference
LANG1	Liberal	116	3.1034	1.0416	3.211	264	.001	.4168
	Conservative	150	2.6867	1.0564	3.216	249.081	.001	.4168
LANG3	Liberal	116	3.9914	.5116	3.708	264	.000	.2425
	Conservative	150	3.7489	.5420	3.735	253.721	.000	.2425

Cross Cultural Friendship

The question on the demographic information sheet "Your current involvement in meaningful cross-cultural friendships, significant relationships is: "indicated that 66% (n=180) of respondents had some cross-cultural friendships while 34% (n=94) stated they had much. To assess the effects of cross cultural friendship involvement on survey item groups' independent t-tests were administered. Table 2 represents the t-tests results between cross cultural friendship involvement and item groups of the scales. The results from the analysis indicate that there are significant differences between "some" and "much" groups as cross cultural friendship involvement in the items of language t (df= 272)= -3.127, p< .05 of Pre-Personal Belief About Diversity Scale, language t (df= 272)= -2.464, p< .05 of Post-Personal Belief About Diversity Scale, language t (df= 272)= -4.647, p< .05, of Pre-Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale, language t (df= 272)= -2.902, p< .05, of Post-Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale. The mean values indicate that the "much" group have significantly higher scores than "some" group in all item groups. The results from the independent t-test analysis of cross cultural friendship involvement difference on each survey items indicated "much" group have significantly higher scores on the items 14 on the Pre-Personal Beliefs Scale; items 14 on the Post-Personal Beliefs Scale; items 6, 16, , 23, on the Pre-Professional Beliefs Scale; and items 6, on the Post-Professional beliefs Scale.

Table 2 Summary of t-tests, Cross cultural friendship involvement - Items Group (Language)

Dangaage	,							
	Cross	N	Mean	Std.	T	df	Sig.	(2-Mean
	cultural			Deviation			tailed)	Difference
	friendship							
LANG1	Some	180	2.7222	1.0142	-3.127	272	.002	4161
	Much	94	3.1383	1.1033	-3.046	175.438	.003	4161
LANG2	Some	180	2.9167	.9623	-2.464	272	.014	3174
	Much	94	3.2340	1.1016	-2.362	168.027	.019	3174
LANG3	Some	180	3.7463	.4912	-4.647	272	.000	3069
	Much	94	4.0532	.5686	-4.439	166.483	.000	3069
LANG4	Some	180	3.8667	.5666	-2.902	272	.004	2043

Much	94 4.0709 .5262	-2.970 201.367 .003	2043
Mucii	7 4 4 .0/09.3202	-2.970 201.307 .003	∠U 4 3

Race

The question on the demographic information sheet "To which RACIAL GROUP/S do you belong?" indicated that 83% (n=227) of them were White, and 17% (n=47) of the respondents indicated Non-White. To assess the effects of race on survey item groups' independent t-tests were administered. Table 3 represents the t-tests results between race and item groups of the scales. The results from the analysis indicate that there are significant differences between White and Non-White groups in the items of language t (df= 272)= -2.025, p< .05, of Pre-Personal Belief About Diversity Scale, language t (df= 272)= -2.665, of Post-Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale. The mean values indicate that the Non-White group have significantly higher scores than White group in all item groups, except the ability items which White group have higher scores than Non-White group. The result from the independent t-test analysis of race difference on each survey items indicated Non-Whites significantly have higher scores on the items 14 on the Pre-Personal Beliefs Scale; items 14 on the Post-Personal Beliefs Scale; items 23, on the Pre-Professional Beliefs Scale.

Table 3 Summary of t-tests, Race - Items Group (Language)

	Race	N	Mean	Std.	T	Df	Sig.	(2-Mean
				Deviation			tailed)	Difference
LANG1	White	227	2.8062	1.0506	-2.025	272	.044	3428
	Non-White	e47	3.1489	1.0830	-1.985	65.169	.051	3428
LANG2	White	227	2.9515	1.0054	-2.665	272	.008	4314
	Non-White	e47	3.3830	1.0332	-2.618	65.296	.011	4314

Foreign Travel Experiences

The question on the demographic information sheet "Have you participated any cultural/cross-cultural experiences?" shows that 69% (n=189) of the respondents had foreign travel experience, 29% (n=80) of the respondents had no foreign travel experiences and 2% (n=5) did not respond to the item. To assess the effects of foreign travel on survey item groups' independent t-tests were administered. Table 4 represents the t-tests results between foreign travel and item groups of the scales. The results from the analysis indicate that there are significant differences between foreign travel experience status in the items of sexual language t (df= 267)= 2.272, p< .05, of Pre-Personal Belief About Diversity Scale. The result from the independent t-test analysis of foreign travel experience difference on each survey items indicated "Yes" group have significantly higher scores on the items 14 on the Pre-Personal beliefs Scale.

Table 4 Summary of t-tests, Foreign travel - Items Group (Language)

	Foreign	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	ıt	Df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean
	travel							Difference
LANG1	Yes	189	2.9577	1.0611	2.272	267	.024	.3202
	No	80	2.6375	1.0463	2.285	150.743	.024	.3202

Student Body Description

The question on the demographic information sheet "How would you describe the student body at your university?" indicates that 15% (n=41) of the respondents categorized the student body at their university as mainly one racial group, 4% (n=12) as two major racial groups, and majority of respondents, and 81% (n=221) categorized their university as having many racial groups. To assess the effects of university body descriptions on survey item groups' one-way ANOVA tests were administered. Table 5 represents the one-way ANOVA results between description of university body and item groups of the scale. One-way ANOVA indicated significant differences on item groups in, language (F (2, 271)= 4.007, p< .05), of Pre Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale, in language (F(2, 271)= 5.663, p<.05), of Post Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale, and in language (F(2, 271)= 5.491, p<.05), of Pre Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale across the three categories of university body descriptions. The mean values indicate that participants who described the university body as "mainly one racial group" had the highest mean values on all items groups, "many racial groups" had the second, and "mainly two racial groups" had the lowest mean values. The results from the one-way ANOVA of students' body description difference on each survey items indicated significant differences on the items 14 on the Pre-Personal Beliefs Scale; items 14 on the Post-Personal Beliefs Scale; items 6, on the Pre-Professional Beliefs Scale.

Table 5 Summary of ANOVA, University Body Description – Items Group (Language)

(Languag	30)						
		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	df	F	Sig.
LANG1	One	41	3.2195	1.1514	2	4.007	.019
	Two	12	2.3333	.8876	271		
	Many	221	2.8281	1.0389	273		
	Total	274	2.8650	1.0622			
LANG2	One	41	3.5122	1.0752	2	5.663	.004
	Two	12	2.9167	.9962	271		
	Many	221	2.9412	.9914	273		
	Total	274	3.0255	1.0214			
LANG3	One	41	4.0569	.5265	2	5.491	.005
	Two	12	3.5278	.4597	271		
	Many	221	3.8311	.5334	273		
	Total	274	3.8516	.5382			

Changing Attitudes and Beliefs on the Issue of Language

The second part of the of the results starts with an item groups means comparisons that presented in a table and results were examined on the basis of the mean differences of pre and post survey results. And finally, using crosstabulation, and paired t-test statistical procedures, item pairs were compared and changes explained for each language related items of the both surveys.

Language Related Items' Group

Table 6 represents the pre-post survey relationship within the context of language. The results from the analysis indicate that there are significant differences between items related to language issues on Pre and Post, Personal and Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale, in Personal Belief About Diversity Scale, t (df= 273) = -2.620, p<.05, in Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale, t(df= 273) = -2.431, p<.05. The mean values indicate that the Posttest item groups have significantly higher scores (M (Post-Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale) = 3.0255, M (Post-Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale) = 3.9367) than Pretest item groups (M (Pre-Personal Belief About Diversity Scale) = 2.8650, M (Pre-Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale) = 3.8516).

Table 6 Summaries of t-tests, Paired Samples Statistics

	Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Paired Differences	t	Df	Sig. (2-tailed)
LANG1	2.8650	274	1.0622	Mean			
LANG2	3.0255	274	1.0214	1606	-2.620	273	.009
LANG3	3.8516	274	.5382				
LANG4	3.9367	274	.5606	-8.52E-02	-2.431	273	.016

Language Related Items - Crosstabulations

Paired t tests on the four language related scale items indicated significant differences between means on pretest and posttest on item 14 (t (df= 273) = -2.620, p< .05) of Personal beliefs Scale, and item 16 (t (df= 273) = -4.388, p< .05) of Professional beliefs Scale (Table 7, Table 8).

Table 7 Summary of Paired t test for Differences – Personal Beliefs Scale

Pairs	Pretest Mean				Paired Differences Mean		t	p-value
14	2.8650	1.0622	3.0255	1.0214	1606	1.0144	-2.620	.009

Table 8 Paired t test for Differences – Professional Beliefs Scale

Pairs	Pretest Mean	Std. Deviation	Posttest Mean	Std. Deviatio	Paired Differences Mean	Std. Deviation	t	p-value
				n				
6	4.1715	.7485	4.1131	.7643	5.839E-02	.7340	1.317	.189
16	3.4489	.8849	3.7263	.8526	2774	1.0464	-4.388	.000
23	3.9343	.8534	3.9708	.8511	-3.6496E-02	.9715	622	.535

Language related items in the personal beliefs scale in both surveys are still too low for an educator to worry about. Gollnick & Chinn (2004), after referring The Lau decision of 1974, which ensures non-English-speaking children the right to an appropriate education that meet their linguistic needs, stated "Even with a legal

mandate, appropriate services may not always be delivered because of lack of tolerance or insensitivity to language or dialects that are not considered standard English (p.269).

Paired t tests on each of the fifteen Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale items indicated significant differences between means on pretest and posttest on items 14, (Table 7). Table 9 represents the students' ratings on the fourteenth item of pre and post Personal Beliefs Scale. On item 14 (Reversed item - It is more important for immigrants to learn English than to maintain their first language) participants responses moved over to the undecided line from under the undecided line. The findings on the pretest were: strongly disagree -13 (4.7%), disagree -78 (28.5%), undecided -63 (23%), agree -99 (36.1%), strongly agree -21(7.7%). The responses on the posttest were: strongly disagree -17 (6.2%), disagree -80 (29.2%), undecided -85 (31%), agree -77 (28.1%), strongly agree -15 (5.5%).

Table 9 I14 & II14 Crosstabulation

		II14					Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
I14	1.00	8	10	3			21
	2.00	5	49	26	18	1	99
	3.00		9	32	17	5	63
	4.00	2	8	20	40	8	78
	5.00		1	4	5	3	13
Total		15	77	85	80	17	274

Paired t tests on each of the twenty five items of the Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale indicated significant differences between means on pretest and posttest on items, 16, (Table 8). Table 10 represents the students' ratings on the sixteenth item of pre and post Professional Beliefs Scale. On item 16, (Whenever possible, second language learners should receive instruction in their first language until they are proficient enough to learn via English instruction) participants' responses slightly moved toward to the agree statement. The pretest gave the following results: strongly disagree -4(1.5%), disagree -34(12.4%), undecided -98(35.8%), agree -111(40.5%), strongly agree -27(9.9%). Their responses on the posttest were as follow: strongly disagree -4(1.5%), disagree -21(7.7%), undecided -60(21.9%), agree -150(54.7), strongly agree -39(14.2%).

Table 10 I16 & II16 Crosstabulation

		II16					Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
I16	1.00	1		1	1	1	4
	2.00	2	7	9	11	5	34
	3.00		9	26	58	5	98
	4.00	1	5	17	69	19	111
	5.00			7	11	9	27
Total		4	21	60	150	39	274

Summary

Using the relationship with demographic variables, and survey items and pre and post survey results we can summarize the results as follows:

- Cross-cultural friendship involvement is the one level of demographic profiles that had impact on both pre and post surveys. Students who reported that they had much cross cultural friendship had higher scores on all scales than students with some cross-cultural friendships.
- Religious denomination is another level of demographic profiles that had impact on both pre Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale and pre Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale. Students who reported that they belong to the Liberal groups had higher scores on scales than students those who reported conservative groups. The impact of religious denomination disappeared on the post scales.
- Second language status had impact on the pre Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale and pre Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale with bilinguals having the higher scores. The impact of this variable disappeared on posttests.
- Foreign travel experience had an impact on only the pre Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale with the yes group recording higher scores. The differences between groups disappeared on the posttest.
- Race had impact on the pre and post Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale with Non-Whites having higher scores. The impact of race did not significant on the pre Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale.
- The way that students rated the university body had an impact on pre and post Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale and the pre Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale and, its impact disappeared on the posttest.

Relationships between language item group and demographic variables can be summarized as follows:

- Non-Whites had higher scores than Whites.
- Foreign travel experienced group higher scores than those who had not experience travel.
- Who described university body as a monocultural environment had higher scores than those who described the university body as multicultural environment.
- Liberals had higher scores than conservatives.

• Who had more cross-cultural friendships had higher scores than students who had fewer cross-cultural friendships.

Paired sample t-test of item analysis indicates that students' responses on items 14, of Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale significantly changed on post survey. For item 14, participants' scores on the on the post survey were increased. Paired sample t-test of item analysis indicates that students' responses on item 16 of Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale significantly changed on post survey. Participants' scores on the on the post survey were increased.

Discussion

Cross-cultural friendship was another variable that signaled higher scores on all surveys. Smith, Moallem, and Sherrill (1997), and Garmon (2004) point out the importance of cross-cultural friendship involvement to develop a greater multicultural awareness. The clear impact of cross-cultural friendship involvement on all surveys gives the direction that we should look at the ways to increase our students' cross cultural friendship involvement. In the educational context we need to organize programs, projects, especially to involve preservice teachers to gain involvement, understanding and appreciation of persons of different cultures. The analysis of participants' description of the student body at their university show that Non-Whites described the university body as less culturally diverse, while the White participants descriptions draw a different profile, that of a multiracial environment. Another important implication of these statistical results is the closing gap between students who had lower scores and higher scores on the pre surveys. The effects of race, foreign travel, second language, and university body description disappeared on the post surveys. In teacher education context, we need to place courses with focuses on multicultural themes in the early years of teacher education programs. These courses might help students to close the gap in terms of understanding multicultural issues within their peers, but also provide them a lens to look at the issues for the rest of their experiences in teacher education programs.

One of the important results of these statistical analyses points to the multi dimension of multicultural concepts and multicultural education in both personal and professional contexts. Even though the mean values and paired t-test scores present significant changes on the participants' beliefs regarding the issues of language, it is noteworthy that the actual number of responses are still important for an educator to be concerned about, especially in a teacher education context. For example on the fourteenth item of the Personal Beliefs About Diversity Scale (It is more important for immigrants to learn English than to maintain their first language), 91 (33.2%) disagree responses on pretest moved to 97 (35.4%) disagree responses on the posttest and on the sixteenth item of the Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scale (Whenever possible, second language learners should receive instruction in their first language until they are proficient enough to learn via English instruction), 138 (50.4%) agree responses on pretest moved to 189 (69%) agree responses on posttest, and 85 (31%) responses on posttest were either undecided or disagree with this statement.

Nespor (1987) stated that, "beliefs are far more influential than knowledge in determining how individuals organize and define tasks and problems and are stronger

predictor of behavior" (p.311). Pohan and Aguilar (2001) explain the notion of the Personal and Professional Beliefs About Diversity Scales' two dimensions, that "there might be a situation in which one's personal beliefs about given issue could be in direct conflict with his/her beliefs in a professional context" (p.160). For example, in a personal context, a preservice teacher believe that being bilingual is an advantage for a teacher in our increasingly diverse society, but same preservice teacher might be against the bilingual education in schooling as professional context. Therefore it is critical the relationship between personal and professional beliefs. Our analysis indicates a linear relationship between personal and professional beliefs that can be concluded as a person's personal beliefs reflect his/her professional beliefs.

References

- Banks, J.A. (2004). Multicultural education historical development, dimensions, and practice. In J.A. Banks & C.A.M. Banks (Eds.), *Handbook of research on multicultural education* (pp 931-975). CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Brown, S. C. & Kysilka, M. (2002). Applying multicultural and global concepts in the classroom and beyond. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Clandinin, D. J. (1986). Classroom practice: Teacher images in action. London: Falmer.
- Cobern, W.W. (1991). World view theory and science education theory. Monograph of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching (No. 3). Kansas State University.
- Cochran-Smith, M. (2004). . Walking the road: Race, diversity, and social justice in teacher education. New York & London: Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Cothran, D., & Ennis, C. (2000). Building bridges to student engagement: Communicating respect and care for students in urban high schools. *Journal of Research and Development in Education*, 33(2), 106-117.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (1995). Inequality and access to knowledge. In J. A. Banks & C. M. Banks (Eds.), *Handbook of research on multicultural education*. NY: McMillan.
- Delpit, L. (1995). *Other people's children: Cultural conflict in the classroom*. New York: The New Press.
- Gallagher-Geurtsen, Tricia (2007) Linguistic Privilege: Why Educators Should be Concerned *Multicultural Perspectives*, 9(1), 40–44
- Garmon, M. A. (2004). Changing preservice teachers' attitudes/beliefs about diversity: What are the critical factors? *Journal of Teacher Education*, 55(3), 201-214.

- Gay, G. (1993). Building cultural bridges: A bold proposal for teacher education. *Education and Urban Society*, 25(3), 285-289.
- Gollnick, D. & Chinn, P. (2004). *Multicultural education in a pluralistic society*. (6th ed.).

 Columbus, OH: Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Gould, S.J. (1996). The mismeasure of man. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.
- Lucas, T., Henze, R., & Donato, R. (1990). Promoting the success of Latino language-minority students: An exploratory study of six high schools. *Harvard Educational Review*, 60(3), 315-340
- Irvine, J. (Ed.). (1997). Constructing the knowledge base for urban teacher education. Washington, DC: American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education.
- Kozol, J. (1991). Savage inequalities: Children in America's schools. NY: Harper & Row.
- Kozol, Jonathan (1995). *Amazing Grace: The lives of children and the conscience of a nation*. NY: HarperPerennial.
- McIntosh P. (1988). White privilege: Unpacking the invisible knapsack. Retrieved October 16, 2009 from: http://www.case.edu/president/aaction/UnpackingTheKnapsack.pdf
- Nespor, J. (1987). The role of beliefs in the practice of teaching. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 19(4), 317-328.
- Nieto, S. (2004). Affirming diversity: The sociopolitical context of multicultural education. Pearson Education.
- Pohan, C.A., & Aguilar, T.E. (1994). Initial development and preliminary testing of two beliefs about diversity measures. Research monograph. Lincoln, NE: The University of Nebraska, Center for Curriculum and Instruction, Teachers College.
- Pohan, C. A., & Aguilar, T. E. (2001). Measuring educators' beliefs about diversity in personal and professional contexts. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(1), 159-182.
- Richardson, V. (1996). The role of attitudes and beliefs in learning to teach. In J. Sikula, T. J. Buttery, & E. Guyton (Eds.), *Handbook of research on teacher education* (pp. 102-119). New York: Macmillan.
- Sleeter, C., & Grant, C. (1999). Making choices for multicultural education: Five approaches to race, class, and gender, (3rd. Ed.)New York: Merrill.

- Smith, R., Moallem, M. & Sherrill, D. (1997). How preservice teachers think about cultural diversity: A closer look at factors which influence their beliefs towards equality. *Educational Foundations*, pp. 41–60.
- Thiong'o, N. (1995). The language of African literature. In Ashcroft, B., Griffiths, G., & Tiffin, H. (Eds.), *The post-colonial studies reader*, (pp. 285–290). London: Routledge.
- Zimpher, N., &. Ashburn, E. (1992). Countering parochialism in teacher candidates. In M. E. Dilworth (Ed.), *Diversity in teacher education: New expectations*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Miscellany

Scope of the IJPE

International Journal of Progressive Education (IJPE) (ISSN 1554-5210) is a peer reviewed interactive electronic journal sponsored by the International Association of Educators and in part by the Graduate School of Library and Information Science at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. IJPE is a core partner of the Community Informatics Initiative and a major user/developer of the Community Inquiry Laboratories. IJPE takes an interdisciplinary approach to its general aim of promoting an open and continuing dialogue about the current educational issues and future conceptions of educational theory and practice in an international context. In order to achieve that aim, IJPE seeks to publish thoughtful articles that present empirical research, theoretical statements, and philosophical arguments on the issues of educational theory, policy, and practice. IJPE is published three times a year in four different languages; Chinese, Turkish, Spanish and English.

The IJPE welcomes diverse disciplinary, theoretical, and methodological perspectives. Manuscripts should focus critical pedagogy, multicultural education, new literacies, cross-cultural issues in education, theory and practice in educational evaluation and policy, communication technologies in education, postmodernism and globalization education. In addition, the *Journal* publishes book reviews, editorials, guest articles, comprehensive literature reviews, and reactions to previously published articles.

Editorial/Review Process

All submissions will be reviewed initially by the editors for appropriateness to IJPE. If the editor considers the manuscript to be appropriate, it will then be sent for anonymous review. Final decision will be made by the editors based on the reviewers' recommendations. All process -submission, review, and revision- is carried out by electronic mail. The submissions should be written using MS-DOS or compatible word processors and sent to the e-mail addresses given below.

Manuscript Submission Guidelines

All manuscripts should be prepared in accordance with the form and style as outlined in the American Psychological Association Publication Manual (5th ed.). Manuscripts should be double-spaced, including references, notes, abstracts, quotations, and tables. The title page should include, for each author, name, institutional affiliation, mailing address, telephone number, e-mail address and a brief biographical statement. The title page should be followed by an abstract of 100 to 150 words. Tables and references should follow APA style and be double-spaced. Normally, manuscripts should not exceed 30 pages (double-spaced), including tables, figures, and references. Manuscripts should not be simultaneously submitted to another journal, nor should they have been published elsewhere in considerably similar form or with considerably similar content.

IJPE Co-Sponsors & Membership Information

International Association of Educators is open to all educators including undergraduate and graduate students at a college of education who have an interest in communicating with other educators from different countries and nationalities. All candidates of membership must submit a membership application form to the executive committee. E-mail address for requesting a membership form and submission is: members@inased.org

*There are two kinds of members - voting members and nonvoting members. Only the members who pay their dues before the election call are called Voting Members and can vote in all elections and meetings and be candidate for Executive Committee in the elections. Other members are called Nonvoting Members.

*Dues will be determined and assessed at the first week of April of each year by the Executive Committee.

*Only members of the association can use the University of Illinois Community Inquiry Lab. In order to log into the forum page, each member needs to get an user ID and password from the association. If you are a member, and if you do not have an user ID and password, please send an e-mail to the secretary: secretary@inased.org .

For membership information, contact: 1971 Orchard Street Apt Urbana, IL 61801, the USA

Phone number: 1 (217) 384-7975 1 (217) 721-8437 E-mail: info@inased.org

Electronic Access to the IJPE

All issues of the International Journal of Progressive Education may be accessed on the World Wide Web at: http://www.ijpe.info/ (Note: this URL *is* case sensitive).